

## Algoe-Eakin, Amy

---

**Subject:** Confirmed: Call w/ Sen. McCaskill's staff on Franklin County, MO SO2 NAAQS designation of nonattainment  
**Location:** 866-299-3188, code 5642188#  
**Start:** Tue 3/8/2016 3:00 PM  
**End:** Tue 3/8/2016 3:30 PM  
**Recurrence:** (none)  
**Meeting Status:** Accepted  
**Organizer:** Davis, Matthew  
**Required Attendees:** Jay, Michael; Mathias, Scott; Hemby, James  
**Optional Attendees:** Weber, Rebecca; Terry, Sara; Peter, David; Wayland, Richard; Algoe-Eakin, Amy; Jones, Rhea; Ashley, Jackie; Carey, Curtis

### Agenda [AND WHO MIGHT SPEAK ABOUT IT]:

- a little background on the process of the SO2 designation generally, where we are at now, then maybe a little bit about why this is a different [SCOTT]
- why non-attainment in EPA's judgement. Walk through high-level points from technical support document. [SCOTT AND AMY]
- Modeling vs. Monitoring: EPA received state-run (demonstrates non-attainment), source-run (didn't meet criteria, weren't approved), and Sierra Club-run modeling (6-8 runs). On the air monitors: they are not following EPA guidance, not sure that they're quite in the best location (EPA wasn't asked for input on location), not part of the network, and don't have 3 years of data) [SCOTT. BECKY. CHET.]
- [TIME PERMITTING] What would it take (high-level) to move from nonattainment to unclassifiable or attainment: approved modeling protocol in the next 120 days, or scenario where all of EPA information points in different directions and are credible (or if we can't rely on it all, can't really say how it) [SCOTT]

### Background email for the call:

OCIR HQ got a request from Sen. McCaskill's DC office for a phone call to discuss the SO2 designation for Franklin County, MO. I believe the public comment period is currently open on EPA's proposed decision to classify Franklin County as non-attainment, as opposed to following the state proposed designation as unclassifiable. The staffer would like to hear about EPA's reasoning around the designation and why we relied on modeling instead of the (new?) air monitors in the area to make the designation. I believe the state looked to the monitors in making their unclassifiable recommendation.

